







Recurrent weight gain after LRYGB What is the treatment algorithm or is there one?



Disclosure

- Research grants: Swiss National Science Foundation, J&J, Hirzbrunnen Foundation NovoNordisk, UKBB, Novartis
- Lecture/consulting fees: Ethicon Endosurgery, Viatris, Falk Foundation, NovoNordisk, Lilly
- Case mix disclosure



how to define initial & excess weight

Initial weight:

- Comparison between series: initial weight
 - At time of operation
 - At time of indication
 - Max. weight
 - Consensus: at the beginning of weight loss therapy (including OMM and preoperative nutritional measures) *

Excess weight:

- Which measurement?
 - Δ initial BMI to 25
 - Δ initial weight to ideal (Brocca formula)
 - Δ initial weight to normal weight (height cm 100)
 - Metropolitan life insurance weight table



University of Basel

how to define success

Weight loss:

- What is "normal weight loss" ?
 - According to IFSO Consensus > 20%WL *
- What does the patient expect ?
- How much is needed = f (co-morbidity)

Which measurement?

- % excess BMI or % excess weight loss (EWL)
 - Success: > 50% EWL ?? (Reinhold's criteria #)
- % original weight (%WL)
 - Independent of height
- Recurrent weight gain:
 - 30% from weight nadir (71% of experts in IFSO Consensus agree) *





st Claraspital

University Hospital

University of Basel * Salminen Obes Surg 2024 *Reinhold, Surg Gynecol Obstet 1982

how to define success

Weight loss:

- What is "normal weight loss" ?
 - According to IFSO Consensus > 20%WL *
- What does the patient expect ?
- How much is needed = f (co-morbidity)

Which measurement?

- % excess BMI or % excess weight loss (EWL)
 - Success: > 50% EWL ?? (Reinhold's criteria #)
- % original weight (%WL)
 - Independent of height
- Recurrent weight gain:
 - 30% from weight nadir (71% of experts in IFSO Consensus agree) *

Example:

- Patient 1: initial weight 120 kg, 170 cm, BMI 41.5 kg/m²
 - Weight loss: 40 kg
 - BMI: 27.7 kg/m²
 Δ BMI: 13.8
 - %WL: 30%
 - % EBMIL: 83.6%



- Patient 2: initial weight 120kg, 150 cm, BMI 53.3 kg/m²
 Weight loss: 40 kg
 - vveignt ioss: 40 kg
 - BMI: 35.6 kg/m²
 Δ BMI: 17.7

University

of Basel

- %WL: 30%
- % EBMIL: 62.5%



- University Hospital Basel

how to define success

- Composite endpoint to compare different treatment modalities / series
 - Weight loss (%WL * 2)
 - Co-morbidities
 - T2DM
 - Dyslipidemia
 - Hypertension
 - OSAS
 - Complications / side effects
 - Comprehension complication index (0 = no complication / 100 = death)
 - (Quality of life)
 - Based on the merged patient level data of SLEEVEPASS & SM-BOSS *
 ** Wölnerhanssen, Peterli, Bueter, ... Salminen, BJS 2020*
 - Is validated in large databases (SOREG Sweden & Norway, Dutch DATO)





stClaraspital

Horisersity Hospital Basel

Variety of weight loss in highly standardized RYGB



5y*

10y#

68 ±25.6 % 65 ±26.3 %

st Claraspital Basel University Hospital Grasel * A

* Peterli, JAMA 2018, # Kraljevic & Peterli, submitted 7

Patient Management

- Surgeon's decision alone with patient
- "Please, doc, I am still too fat. Do something! I can't continue to live like that"

in the past





st Claraspital

う

University Hospital



Patient Management

- Interdisciplinary and interprofessional team
 - Endocrinologist
 - Nutritionist
 - Psychiatrist
 - Surgeon
 -
- Detection of pts with weight issues / comorbidity recurrence
 - During regular FU
 - Mandatory in Switzerland
 - FU rate > 75% at 5 years postop

B SMOB

Swiss Society for the Study of Morbid Obesity and Metabolic Disorders

- Patient
- GP





Work-up 1

suboptimal initial clinical response & recurrent weight gain

- Weight evolution
 - No responder
 - Temporary responder
 - Weight loss nadir
 - Severity of recurrent weight gain
- Co-morbidity
 - Evolution
 - Severity
- Eating habits
 - Existing/newly developed eating disorder
 - Potential to improve?
 - Compliance (vitamin supplementation)
 - deficiencies ?
- Anatomical problem ?





- University Hospital Basel

University of Basel

Conservative options

- Intensified dietary counselling & physical activity
- Additional psychological support
- Anti-obesity-meds



- Intensified medical therapy:
 - For T2DM
 - GERD
 -

Sasel

Work-up 2

after unsuccessful conservative Therapy

- Expectations of patient: realistic ?
 - Psychiatric re-evaluation
- Adherence:
 - In the past
 - Future
- Compliance (vitamin supplementation)
- Additional investigations:
 - Depending on symptoms:
 - Endoscopy
 - Manometry
 - Upper GI-series
 - Cardio-pulmonary work-up in T2DM
 - Ultrasound: gallstones?









st Claraspital

J – University Hospital Basel

Metabolic Board

suboptimal initial clinical response & recurrent weight gain

- What kind of surgery:
 - Anatomical problem ?
 - Correction of initial operation

Hiatal hernia

- Repair with/without additional weight loss measure
- Additional problems
 - Pain
 - Reflux
 - Dumping
- Escalation of metabolic surgery: add hypo-absorption ?
 - Adherence check
 - Proteins: can pt. eat enough; can pt. afford them?
 - Does pt. tolerate diarrhea, odorous stools?
 - Stool intolerance?

- Anatomical problem?
 - Fistula



– Candy cane?

С

Pouch size (open bypass)

Sasel

- No anatomical problem
- Endoscopic / surgical options
 - 1. Add restriction



Sasel

- No anatomical problem
- Endoscopic / surgical options
 - 1. Add restriction

2. Escalation of metabolic surgery: add hypo-absorption



University Hospital Basel University of Basel

- 1. Add restriction by endoscopy: reshaping of stoma size at gastro-enterostomy
 - (Sclerotherapy)
 - Argon Laser Plasma Coagulation (APC)



- Meta-analysis (4 trials with isolated APC, 828 pts)
 - Additional %WL: 6 % 1y, 11% 2y; 5% 3y
 - Complications 1.6%



- 1. Add restriction by endoscopy: reshaping of stoma size at gastro-enterostomy
 - (Sclerotherapy)
 - Argon Laser Plasma Coagulation (APC)
 - TORe (transoral outlet reduction) with Endostitch®
 - In combination with APC
 - Repeat TORe



st Claraspital

- University Hospital Basel

- 1. Add restriction by endoscopy: reshaping of stoma size at gastro-enterostomy
 - (Sclerotherapy)
 - Argon Laser Plasma Coagulation (APC)
 - TORe (transoral outlet reduction) with Endostitch®
 - In combination with APC
 - Repeat TORe
 - Single center study: 331 pts *
 - FU up to 5y (123 pts, FU rate > 80%)
 - 3.6 % repeat TORe
 - 39 % had additional therapy (pharmacotherapy or procedure ?)
 - No severe adverse events



- 1. Add restriction by endoscopy: reshaping of stoma size at gastro-enterostomy
 - (Sclerotherapy)
 - Argon Laser Plasma Coagulation (APC)
 - TORe (transoral outlet reduction) with Endostitch®
 - In combination with APC
 - Repeat TORe
 - Single center study: 331 pts *
 - FU up to 5y (123 pts, FU rate > 80%)
 - 3.6 % repeat TORe
 - 39 % had additional therapy (pharmacotherapy or procedure ?)
 - No severe adverse events



- 1. Add restriction by endoscopy: reshaping of stoma size at gastro-enterostomy
 - (Sclerotherapy)
 - Argon Laser Plasma Coagulation (APC)
 - TORe (transoral outlet reduction) with Endostitch®
 - In combination with APC
 - Repeat TORe
 - $-\,$ Meta-analysis: 13 studies on 810 pts *



st Claraspital



- 1. Add restriction by endoscopy: reshaping of stoma size at gastro-enterostomy
 - (Sclerotherapy)
 - Argon Laser Plasma Coagulation (APC)
 - TORe (transoral outlet reduction) with Endostitch[®]
 - In combination with APC
 - Repeat TORe
 - Meta-analysis: 13 studies on 810 pts *



- 1. Add restriction by surgery
 - Band or Fobi ring ("ring augmented" LRYGB)
 - + / additional pouch resizing
 - Indication: dumping





- 2. Add hypo-absorption:
 - Taking down the BPL close to ileoceocal valve = "Type II Distalization"



- 2. Add hypo-absorption:
 - Taking down the BPL close to ileoceocal valve = "Type II Distalization"



- 2. Add hypo-absorption:
 - Hess-Formula (1-3 anastomoses necessary) = BPD ("Type III Distalization")



- 2. Add hypo-absorption:
 - Higa-Formula: taking down the AL close to the ileoceocal valve (only one anastomosis) = "Type I Distalization"



st Claraspital

University Hospital Basel

University of Basel

- 2. Add hypo-absorption:
 - Higa-Formula: taking down the AL close to the ileoceocal valve (only one anastomosis) = "Type I Distalization"



st Claraspital

- University Hospital

University of Basel

Results of Revisions/Conversions after Bypass

indication / early morbidity

N > 1630

n=34 (3.3y post bypass) insuff. weight loss lacking restriction dumping



early morbidity:

- major: 3% (obstruction: laparoscopic loosening of band)
- minor: 0

n= 20 (6.4y post bypass) insuff. weight loss sufficient restriction good adherence



early morbidity:

• major: 0

University of Basel

minor: 25%

st Claraspital



N > 1630 N = 34 (3.3y post bypass) insuff. weight loss lacking restriction dumping N = 20 (6.4y post bypass) insuff. weight loss sufficient restriction good adherence

FU time = 2y

FU rate 100%

- BMI: 42 ⇔ 31.4 ⇔ 30
- co-morbidities
 - 4 pts with T2DM: 50% remission
 - 21 pts dyslipidemia: 10% remission
- complications
 - band removal 32% (12% band adjustment)
 - 12% conversion to BPD

- BMI: 45 ♀ 41 ♀ 31
 - co-morbidities
 - 1 pt with T2DM:5 pts dyslipidemia:
- 100% remission 80% remission

- complications
 - 10% revision for protein malnutrition

- University Hospital Basel University of Basel

Weightloss-Results of Revisions after Bypass

literature

• Banded revisional bypass ("ring augmented bypass)

Author	Year	N	FU years rate (%)	type of band/ring	revisions	%EWL (since revision)
Dapri	2009	6	1	adjustable band		70
Bessler	2010	22	2			43
Irani	2011	43	2			38
Aminian	2015	28	3			13
Jakobs & Dillemans	2021	35	2 (80%)	Adhesix® Bioring®	21%	61 (+50%)
Lazaridis	2021	20	3 (100%)	adjustable band	65%	79.5% (+38%)
Franken (Metaanalysis)	2023	362	3 (22-89%)	band +/- pouch resizing	40%	(+17 %WL)
Clarunis	2020	34	2 (100%)	non adjustable	32%	70 (+9%)

Weightloss-Results of Conversions after Bypass

literature

• Conversion into hypo-absorptive procedure

Author	Year	N	FU years	type of redo-surgery	Malnutrition	%EWL (since revision)
Sugerman	1997	22	5	Higa Formula		69
Fobi	2001	65	>1	50% AL	22%	(-7 BMI points)
Dapri	2011	7	2	?		57
Ghiassi & Higa	2017	96	3 (FU 50%)	Higa		66
Shin & Shikora	2019	22	?	Higa	14%	78 (62%)
Shah & Gislason	2023	48 42	1-8 (>90%)	Higa TAL 250 Higa TAL 300	35% 14%	3y 74% 8y 62%
Clarunis	2020	20	2	Higa & Hess	10%	69 (48%)



st Claraspital

 Image: University Hospital Basel
 Image: University Hospital Of Basel

SUMMARY suboptimal initial clinical response and recurrent weight gain

- Obesity = chronic disease
- Definition suboptimal initial clinical response and recurrent weight gain
 - No set limit (<20%WL, +30% from Nadir)
 - Important if co-morbidities recur
- Prevalence after Bypass: 5-20%-? after 5-20y
- Revisional/conversion surgery may be indicated:
 - Depending also on co-morbidity evolution
 - 5% 10%
- Adding restriction if dumping symptoms are present
 - TORe?
 - Pouch-resizing & Band
- Adding hypo-absorption (=conversion in BPD)
 - Better weight loss, remission of co-morbidities BUT more severe side effects



CONCLUSION Sleeve and suboptimal initial clinical response and recurrent weight gain









- After unsuccessful conservative treatment:
 - Surgery can be taken into account
 - In selected patients
- Interdisciplinary decision
- More evidence needed to have a valid algorithm
- Outcome of salvage procedure equal compared to same procedure as primary intervention
- If hypo-absorption is added: excellent FU mandatory

Advanced Course in Metabolic-Bariatric Surgery



st Claraspital

Sasel

Joint EASO / IFSO-EC Congress April 13-17, 2027 Basel, Switzerland



IFSO European Chapter

